arXiv:1504.05412v1 [math.CO] 21 Apr 2015

Classification of reflexibile regular Cayley maps for dihedral groups

István Kovács,[a] Young Soo Kwon[b]

[a] FAMNIT & IAM, University of Primorska, Muzejski trg 2, 6000 Koper, Slovenia
[b] Mathematics, Yeungnam University, Kyongsan 712-749, Republic of Korea

Abstract

In this paper, we classify reflexible regular Cayley maps for dihedral groups.

Keywords: Cayley map, regular embedding, reflexible map
2000 Mathematics subject classification: 05C10, 05C25, 57M15

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group, and let $X \subseteq G\backslash\{1_G\}$ be a generating set for G which is closed under inverse. The *Cayley graph* $C(G,X)$ for the pair (G,X) has vertex set G, with any two vertices $g,h \in G$ joined by an edge whenever $g^{-1}h \in X$. It follows that the Cayley graphs considered in this article are finite, connected, undirected and simple.

A (topological) *map* is a 2-cell embedding of a connected graph into a closed surface. We are interested in particular embeddings of Cayley graphs on surfaces. It is well known that to describe an embedding of graph into an orientable surface, one just needs to specify, at every vertex, a cyclic ordering of edges emanating from the vertex. A graph automorphism which can be extended to a homeomorphism of the supporting surface onto itself is called a *map automorphism*. If the group of orientation-preserving automorphisms of an embedding acts transitively on incident vertex-edge pairs called

---

The work was partially supported by the Slovenian-Korean bilateral project, grant no. BI-KOR/13-14-002. The first author was supported in part by the Slovenian Research Agency (research program P1-0285 and research projects N1-0032, J1-5433, and J1-6720). The second author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2010-0022142).

E-mail addresses: istvan.kovacs@upr.si (István Kovács), ysookwon@ynu.ac.kr (Young Soo Kwon).

arcs, then this action is also regular. In this case, the embedding (map) is called *regular*. In addition, if there is an orientation-reversing map automorphism, the map is called *reflexible*.

For a Cayley graph $C(G, X)$, all edges incident to a vertex $g \in G$ have the form $\{g, gx\}$ where $x \in X$. Hence to describe an embedding of a Cayley graph in an orientable surface, it suffices to specify a cyclic permutation of the generating set at each vertex. If these cyclic permutations are the same at each vertex, which is a cyclic permutation p of the set X, then the embedding is called a *Cayley map* and is denoted by $CM(G, X, p)$. In this paper, when we describe p clearly, we sometimes omit the description of X because p contains full information of X. Since left multiplication by any fixed element of G induces an orientation-preserving automorphism of $CM(G, X, p)$, Cayley maps are vertex-transitive. So a Cayley map $CM(G, X, p)$ is regular if and only if the stabilizer $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})_{1_G}$ of the vertex 1_G in the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ acts regularly on the arcs emanating from 1_G. A generator of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})_{1_G}$ satisfies a certain system of identities [6], subsequently re-stated in terms of so-called skew-morphisms [7] which will be introduced later. For more information on regular Cayley maps, the reader is referred to [1, 7, 16, 17, 18].

For a Cayley map $\mathcal{M} = CM(G, X, p)$, $\mathcal{M}$ is called *t-balanced* if $p(x)^{-1} = p^t(x^{-1})$ for every $x \in X$. In particular, if $t = 1$ then $\mathcal{M}$ is called *balanced*, and if $t = -1$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be *anti-balanced*. Note that a Cayley map $\mathcal{M} = CM(G, X, p)$ is regular and balanced if and only if there exists a group automorphism ψ of G whose restriction on X is p. Two Cayley maps $CM(G_1, X_1, p_1)$ and $CM(G_2, X_2, p_2)$ are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a group isomorphism $\phi : G_1 \to G_2$ mapping X_1 to X_2 such that $\phi p_1 = p_2 \phi$. Equivalent Cayley maps are isomorphic as maps. On the other hand, isomorphic Cayley maps may not be equivalent as Cayley maps.

The class of cyclic groups is the only class of finite groups on which all regular Cayley maps have been classified due to the work of Conder and Tucker [5]. Regarding other groups, only partial classifications are known (see, e.g. [9, 11, 13, 20]). In this paper we investigate in detail properties of reflexible Cayley maps for dihedral groups. As the main result, we classify reflexible regular Cayley maps for dihedral groups. The following theorem is the main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a reflexible regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n of valency d, where $D_n = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = 1, bab = a^{-1} \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $2n$. If $d = 2$ then $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $CM(D_n, \{b, ab\}, (b, ab))$, and if $d = 3$ then $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $CM(D_2, \{b, ab, a\}, (b, ab, a))$, $CM(D_3, \{b, ab, a^2b\}, (b, ab, a^2b))$ or $CM(D_4, \{b, a, a^{-1}\}, (b, a, a^{-1}))$. For $d \geq 4$, $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to one of the maps in the following list:*

(1) $\mathcal{M}_1 = CM(D_n, X_1, p_1)$ *with*

$$p_1 = (b, ab, a^{\ell+1}b, a^{\ell^2+\ell+1}b, \ldots, a^{\ell^{d-2}+\ell^{d-3}+\cdots+1}b)$$

for some ℓ *satisfying* $\ell^2 \equiv 1(\mod n)$, *where* d *is the smallest positive integer such that* $\ell^{d-1} + \ell^{d-2} + \cdots + 1 \equiv 0(\mod n)$.

(2) *A positive integer* n *is even and* $\mathcal{M}_2 = CM(D_n, X_2, p_2)$ *with*

$$p_2 = (b, a, a^2b, a^3, a^4b, \ldots, a^{n-2}b, a^{n-1}).$$

(3) *A positive integer* $n = 2m$ *is a multiple of* 8 *and* $\mathcal{M}_3 = CM(D_n, X_3, p_3)$ *with*

$$p_3 = (b, a, a^{m+2}b, a^3, a^4b, \ldots, a^{m-2}b, a^{n-1}).$$

(4) $n = 3k$ *for some positive integer* k *and* $\mathcal{M}_4 = CM(D_n, X_4, p_4)$ *with*

$$p_4 = (a^{-1}, a, b, a^2b).$$

(5) $n = 2m = 8k + 4$ *for some positive integer* k *and* $\mathcal{M}_5 = CM(D_n, X_5, p_5)$ *with*

$$p_5 = (a^{-1}, a, b, a^{m+1}, a^{m-1}, a^{m+2}b).$$

(6) $n = 2m = 4k + 2$ *for some positive integer* k *and* $\mathcal{M}_6 = CM(D_n, X_6, p_6)$ *with*

$$p_6 = (b, a^{m-2}b, a, a^{-2}b, a^m b, a^{-1}).$$

Furthermore all the Cayley maps listed above are reflexible regular Cayley maps.

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a finite group. Consider a permutation φ of G of order d (in the full symmetric group $\mathrm{Sym}(G)$) and a function π from G to the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_d$. The function φ is said to be a *skew-morphism* of G with the associated *power function* π, if φ fixes the identity element of G and

$$\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi^{\pi(a)}(b) \quad \text{for all} \quad a, b \in G.$$

Here φ^j stands for the composition $\varphi \circ \cdots \circ \varphi$ consisting of j terms. It was proved in [7] that a Cayley map $CM(G, X, p)$ is regular if and only if there exists a skew-morphism φ of G such that $\varphi(x) = p(x)$ for each $x \in X$. For a given finite group

G with a symmetric generating set $X \subseteq G \setminus \{1_G\}$, and a given regular Cayley map $\mathcal{M} = CM(G, X, p)$, we will always use φ and π to denote respectively the skew-morphism of $\mathcal{M}$ with the property that the restriction of φ to X is p, and the associated power function. We will use d to denote the valency of $\mathcal{M}$, and identify the integers $0, 1, \ldots, d-1$ with their residue classes modulo d when the context permits. Also, if $p = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$, then we will let $c(k) \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ be the subscript of x_k^{-1}, and so $x_k^{-1} = x_{c(k)}$ and $c(c(k)) = k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_d$. Now for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ we have $1_G = \varphi(1_G) = \varphi(x_k x_k^{-1}) = \varphi(x_k)\varphi^{\pi(x_k)}(x_k^{-1}) = x_{k+1}\varphi^{\pi(x_k)}(x_{c(k)})$, which implies $\varphi^{\pi(x_k)}(x_{c(k)}) = x_{k+1}^{-1} = x_{c(k+1)}$; and thus $\pi(x_k) = c(k+1) - c(k)$ holds in $\mathbb{Z}_d$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_d$. It follows from [7] that all values of a power function π are non-zero when the skew-morphism associated with π is not equal to the identity mapping. The *kernel* $\ker(\pi)$ of the power function π is defined by $\ker(\pi) = \{g \in G : \pi(g) = 1\}$. The following proposition gives some properties of skew-morphisms.

Proposition 2.1. [7] *Let φ be a skew-morphism of G with the associated power function π. Then*

(1) $\ker(\pi) = \{g \in G \mid \pi(g) = 1\}$ *is a subgroup of G.*

(2) $\pi(g) = \pi(h)$ *if and only if g and h are contained in the same right coset of the subgroup* $\ker(\pi)$.

(3) *For any $g, h \in G$, $\pi(gh) = \sum_{i=0}^{\pi(g)-1} \pi(\varphi^i(h))$.*

Two Cayley maps $\mathcal{M}_1 = CM(G, X, p)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = CM(G, Y, q)$ with $X = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}\}$ and $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{d-1}\}$ are said to have the *same rotation type* if the two cyclic permutations p and q can be expressed as $p = (x_0\ x_1\ \cdots\ x_{d-1})$ and $q = (y_0\ y_1\ \cdots\ y_{d-1})$ such that $x_i^{-1} = p^j(x_i)$ if and only if $y_i^{-1} = q^j(y_i)$ for any $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$.

Proposition 2.2. [9] *Let $\mathcal{M}_1 = CM(G, X, p)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = CM(G, Y, q)$ be two regular Cayley maps of the same rotation type. Then $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a group automorphism φ of G such that $\varphi(X) = Y$ and $\varphi p = q\varphi$, i.e., they are equivalent.*

The following observation will be useful later on.

Proposition 2.3. [4] *Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(G, X, p)$ with $p = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$, be a d-valent reflexible Cayley map for a finite group G, and let ψ be an orientation-reversing automorphism of $\mathcal{M}$. If ψ takes the arc (g, gx_i) to the arc (h, hx_j), then ψ takes gx_ix_k to $hx_jx_{c(j)+c(i)-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_d$.*

For a given Cayley map $\mathcal{M} = CM(G, X, p)$, a bijection τ from G to G is called an *antirotary mapping* of $\mathcal{M}$ if the following three conditions hold for any $g \in G$ and $x \in X$:

$$\text{(i) } \tau(1_G) = 1_G \quad \text{(ii) } \tau(g)^{-1}\tau(gx) \in X \quad \text{and} \quad \text{(iii) } \tau(g)^{-1}\tau(gp(x)) = p^{-1}(\tau(g)^{-1}\tau(gx)).$$

In fact, an antirotary mapping of $\mathcal{M}$ is an orientation-reversing map automorphism fixing 1_G and the converse is also true [6]. So the following proposition holds.

Proposition 2.4. [6] *Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(G, X, p)$ be a regular Cayley map. Now $\mathcal{M}$ is reflexible if and only if there exists an antirotary mapping of $\mathcal{M}$.*

For any n, let $D_n = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = 1 \text{ and } ab = ba^{-1}\rangle$ be the dihedral group of order $2n$. For our convenience, let

$$A_n = \{a^i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\} \text{ and } B_n = \{a^i b \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}.$$

Now A_n is the cyclic subgroup of D_n of order n. The automorphism group of D_n is

$$\mathrm{Aut}(D_n) = \{\sigma_{i,j} \mid \sigma_{i,j}(a) = a^i,\ \sigma_{i,j}(b) = a^j b,\ \ i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \text{ and } \gcd(i, n) = 1\}.$$

For any integer ℓ with $\gcd(\ell, n) = 1$, let d be the smallest positive integer such that

$$\ell^{d-1} + \ell^{d-2} + \cdots + \ell + 1 \equiv 0 \ (\bmod n).$$

Set $X = \{b, ab, a^{\ell+1}b, \ldots, a^{\ell^{d-2}+\ell^{d-3}+\cdots+\ell+1}b\}$, $p = (b,\ ab,\ a^{\ell+1}b,\ \cdots,\ a^{\ell^{d-2}+\ell^{d-3}+\cdots+\ell+1}b)$, and $\mathcal{M}(n, \ell) = CM(D_n, X, p)$ for the resulting regular Cayley map. Wang and Feng [20] showed that all regular balanced Cayley maps on D_n are those of the form $\mathcal{M}(n, \ell)$, where $\gcd(n, \ell) = 1$, and any two such maps on D_n with two integers ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 such that $\gcd(\ell_1, n) = \gcd(\ell_2, n) = 1$ are isomorphic if and only if $\ell_1 = \ell_2$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(G, X, p)$ be a regular Cayley map. Suppose, in addition, that there exists a subgroup $N \leq G$ such that N is normal in G and the the set of N-cosets is a block system of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. In what follows it will be simply said that G/N is a block system for $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. Furthermore, we set $X/N = \{Nx \mid x \in X\}$. Clearly, X/N is a generating subset of the factor group G/N and $X/N = (X/N)^{-1}$. Also, since $C(G, X)$ is $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$-arc-regular, no element of X belongs to N, and so $1_{G/N} \notin X/N$.

There is an action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ on the set of blocks, i. e., on G/N. For $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$, we let $g^{G/N}$ denote the action of g on G/N, and for a subgroup $H \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ set $H^{G/N} = \{g^{G/N} \mid g \in H\}$. For $g \in G$, let L_g be the *left translation* $L_g : x \mapsto gx, x \in G$, and for a subgroup $H \leq G$, let $L(H) = \{L_h \mid h \in H\}$. Notice that $(L_g)^{G/N} = L_{Ng}$ for every $g \in G$. Let us write $X = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}\}$ and $p = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$. Then it follows that the cycle $p^{G/N} := (x_0N, x_1N, \ldots, x_{d-1}N)$ is well-defined (see [11]); and so is the Cayley map $CM(G/N, X/N, p^{G/N})$. The latter map is called the *quotient* of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the block system G/N, and it will be also denoted by $\mathcal{M}/N$. We note that the quotient map $\mathcal{M}/N$ coincides with the so called *Cayley-quotient* induced by the normal subgroup N which was defined by Zhang [19], and in the same paper $\mathcal{M}$ is also referred to as the *Cayley-cover* of $\mathcal{M}/N$. We collect below some properties (see [11, Corollary 3.5]):

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(G, X, p)$ be a regular Cayley map with associated skew-morphism ψ and power function π, and let $N \leq G$ be a normal subgroup in G and G/N is a block system for* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. *Then the following hold:*

(1) $\mathcal{M}/N = CM(G/N, X/N, p^{G/N})$ is also regular.

(2) $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}/N) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})^{G/N}$.

(3) The skew-morphisms associated with $\mathcal{M}/N$ is equal to $\psi^{G/N}$.

(4) The order $|\langle\psi\rangle| \leq |N| \cdot \left|\langle\psi^{G/N}\rangle\right|$, and equality holds if and only if X is a union of N-cosets.

(5) The power function $\pi^{G/N}$ associated with $\mathcal{M}/N$ satisfies

$$\pi^{G/N}(Ng) \equiv \pi(g)\big(\mathit{mod}\ \left|\langle\psi^{G/N}\rangle\right|\big) \text{ for every } g \in G.$$

Recall that, the *core* of a subgroup $A \leq B$ in the group B is the largest normal subgroup of B contained in A. We say that A is *core-free* in B if its core in B is trivial. We will use the following classification result proved in [10].

Theorem 2.6. [10, Theorem 3.4] *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n with $n > 4$ such that cyclic subgroup $L(A_n)$ is core-free in* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. *Then $n = 2m$, m is an odd number, and $\mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to the Cayley map $CM(D_n, a\langle a^2\rangle \cup b\langle a^2\rangle, p)$, where $p = (b, a, a^2b, a^3, a^4b, \ldots, a^{n-2}b, a^{n-1})$.*

Remark 2.7. For small positive integers n with $2 \leq n \leq 4$, the regular Cayley maps $\mathcal{M}$ on D_n such that cyclic subgroup $L(A_n)$ is core-free in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ can be easily obtained (see also [11, Theorem 2.8]). Up to equivalence, these are the following maps:

- $CM(D_2, \{b, ab, a\}, (b, ab, a))$;
- $CM(D_3, \{a^{-1}, a, b, a^2b\}, (a^{-1}, a, b, a^2b))$;

- $CM(D_4, \{b, a, a^{-1}\}, (b, a, a^{-1}))$.

We will also make use of two further results from [10].

Proposition 2.8. [10, Corollary 4.2] *Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a regular Cayley map with associated skew-morphism ψ and power function π and let $M = A_n \cap \ker(\pi)$. If $|M| > 2$, then $L(M)$ is normal in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$.*

Theorem 2.9. [10, Theorem 4.5] *Let $n \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a regular Cayley map with associated power function π. Then either $n = 3$, $\mathcal{M}$ is the embedding of the octahedron into the sphere and $|\ker(\pi)| = 2$, or $|\ker(\pi)| \geq 4$.*

Finally, we will need the following result about skew-morphisms of cyclic groups.

Proposition 2.10. [12, Corollary 3.3] *Let φ be an arbitrary skew-morphism of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$, and T be an orbit of $\langle\varphi\rangle$ such that T generates $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Then T contains a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_n$.*

3 The reflection index

As set in the previous section, the dihedral group D_n of order $2n$ is given by the presentation $D_n = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = 1, bab = a^{-1}\rangle$, $A_n = \langle a\rangle$ and $B_n = D_n \setminus A_n$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n. If $X \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ then $A_n = \langle X \cap A_n\rangle$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. The lemma can be checked readily if $n \leq 6$, hence from now on it is assumed that $n > 6$. We may also assume that n is even, because if n is odd, then the lemma follows from the classification of non-balanced regular Cayley maps for D_n with n odd given in [11, Theorem 3.2].

If $L(A_n)$ has trivial core in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$, then the lemma follows from Theorem 2.6 and Remark 2.7. Thus we assume that there exists a subgroup $P < A_n$ such that $L(P) \triangleleft \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ and $|P| = p$ is a prime number.

Let $X_a = X \cap A_n$ and $A^* = \langle X_a\rangle$. Assume, towards a contradiction, that $A^* \neq A_n$. Since $L(P) \triangleleft \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$, D_n/P is a block system for $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. Let us consider the quotient map $\mathcal{M}/P = CM(D_n/P, X/P, p^{D_n/P})$, see Proposition 2.5 and the preceding paragraph. Since $X/P \cap A_n/P \neq \emptyset$, the induction hypothesis gives $\langle X_a/P\rangle = A_n/P$. Thus $A_n = \langle X_a P\rangle = \langle X_a\rangle P = A^*P$. Since $A^* \neq A_n$, $P \not\leq A^*$, and so $A_n = P \times A^*$ (also, $p \nmid |A^*|$ as A_n is a cyclic group). A right P-coset intersecting X will have the same number of common elements with X. Since $P \not\leq A^*$, this number is 1. This implies that $|\psi| = |\psi^{D_n/P}|$, where $\psi^{D_n/P}$ is the skew-morphisms of D_n/P induced by ψ (see Proposition 2.5). Thus π and $\pi^{D_n/P}$ take the same number of values, and therefore, $|D_n : \ker(\pi)| = |D_n/P : \ker(\pi^{D_n/P})|$, which gives $|\ker(\pi)| = p \cdot |\ker(\pi^{D_n/P})|$. By Theorem 2.9, $|\ker(\pi^{D_n/P})| \geq 4$ or $n/p = 3$. Since n is even and larger than 6, we find that $\ker(\pi) \geq 4p$. By Proposition 2.8, and using also that $p^2 \nmid |A_n|$, there exists a subgroup $Q < A_n$ such that $L(Q) \triangleleft \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ and $|Q| = q$ is a prime number and $p \neq q$. Then $Q \leq A^* = \langle X_a \rangle$, and hence $A^* = A^*Q = \langle X_a Q \rangle$. This implies that $\langle X_a/Q \rangle = A^*/Q$. On the other hand, by induction, X_a/Q must generate A_n/Q, implying that $A^* = A_n$, a contradiction. The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n. If $X \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ then there exists $x \in X$ such that $A_n = \langle x \rangle$.*

Proof. We set $X_a = X \cap A_n$. Let N be the largest subgroup of A_n such that the $L(N)$-orbits form a system of blocks for $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$. Then $\mathcal{M}/N = CM(D_n/N, X/N, p^{D_n/N})$ is also a regular Cayley map with associated skew-morphisms $\psi^{D_n/P}$ by Proposition 2.5. Clearly, $|A_n/N| \geq 2$. Observe that $\mathcal{M}/N$ is a core-free map, i. e., the cyclic subgroup $L(A_n/N)$ is core-free in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M}/N)$. By Theorem 2.6 and Remark 2.7, we may assume, up to equivalence, that one of the following holds:

(i) $n/|N| = 2$, and $p^{D_n/N} = (Nb, Nab, Na)$;

(ii) $n/|N| = 3$, and $p^{D_n/N} = (Na^{-1}, Na, Nb, Na^2b)$;

(iii) $n/|N| = 4$, and $p^{D_n/N} = (Nb, Na, Na^{-1})$;

(iv) $n/|N| \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, and $p^{D_n/N} = (Nb, Na, Na^2b, \ldots, Na^{-1})$.

We give a detailed proof for cases (i) and (ii), and only a sketch for cases (iii) and (iv) because they are obtained by repeating very similar processes.

(i): Let $\varphi = \psi^3$. It is easily seen that X_a is an orbit under $\langle \varphi \rangle$. The power function $\pi^{D_n/N}$ takes on 1 for every element in D_n/N. Thus $\pi(x) \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ for every $x \in D_n$, see Proposition 2.5(5), and thus we can write for any $x, y \in D_n$,

$$\varphi(xy) = \psi^3(xy) = \psi^3(x)\psi^{\pi(x)+\pi(\psi(x))+\pi(\psi^2(x))}(y) = \psi^3(x)\psi^{3k}(y) = \varphi(x)\varphi^k(y)$$

for some integer k. This gives that φ is a skew-morphism of D_n. As $\langle X_a \rangle = A_n$, see Lemma 3.1, φ maps A_n to itself. Let $\bar{\varphi}$ be the restriction of φ to A_n. Then $\bar{\varphi}$ is a skew-morphism of the cyclic group A_n such that X_a is an $\langle \bar{\varphi} \rangle$-orbit which generates A_n. By Proposition 2.10, X_a contains a generator of A_n.

(ii): Let $\varphi = \psi^4$. In this case X_a splits into two orbits under $\langle\varphi\rangle$, say $X_a^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$. Furthermore, they satisfy $X_a^{(1)} = \big(X_a^{(2)}\big)^{-1}$. Notice that both $X_a^{(1)}$ and $X_a^{(2)}$ generate A_n. The power function $\pi^{D_n/N}$ is given as follows: $\pi^{D_n/N}(N) = \pi^{D_n/N}(Na^2b) = 1$, $\pi^{D_n/N}(Na) = \pi^{D_n/N}(Nab) = 2$, and $\pi^{D_n/N}(Na^2) = \pi^{D_n/N}(Nb) = 3$. These imply with Proposition 2.5(5) that, for every $x \in D_n$

$$\pi(x) + \pi(\psi(x)) + \pi(\psi^2(x) + \pi(\psi^3(x)) \equiv 0(\text{ mod } 4).$$

As in case (i), we deduce from this that φ is a skew-morphism of D_n which maps A_n to itself. Now, the restriction of φ to A_n is a skew-morphism of A_n with $(X_a)^{(1)}$ is a generating orbit. The proof can be finished again by Proposition 2.10.

(iii) – (iv): We let $\varphi = \psi^3$ in case (iii), and $\varphi = \psi^2$ in case (iv). Then we show that φ is a skew-morphism of D_n which maps A_n to itself. In case (iii) the set X_a splits into two orbits under $\langle\varphi\rangle$ which are inverses to one another, while X_a is an $\langle\varphi\rangle$-orbit in case (iv). Finally, we finish the proof by applying Proposition 2.10 to the restriction of φ to A_n. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n. Now $\mathcal{M}$ is reflexible if and only if there exists an automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_n)$ such that $\alpha(X) = X$ and for any $x \in X$, $\alpha(p(x)) = p^{-1}(\alpha(x))$.*

Proof. Let $p = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$.

Suppose there exists an automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_n)$ such that $\alpha(X) = X$ and for any $x \in X$, $\alpha(p(x)) = p^{-1}(\alpha(x))$. Then $\alpha(1_{D_n}) = 1_{D_n}$. For any $g \in D_n$ and $x \in X$, $\alpha(g)^{-1}\alpha(gx) = \alpha(g)^{-1}\alpha(g)\alpha(x) = \alpha(x) \in X$ and

$$\alpha(g)^{-1}\alpha(gp(x)) = \alpha(g)^{-1}\alpha(g)\alpha(p(x)) = \alpha(p(x)) = p^{-1}(\alpha(x)) = p^{-1}(\alpha(g)^{-1}\alpha(gx)).$$

Hence α is an antirotary mapping of $\mathcal{M}$, and consequently $\mathcal{M}$ is reflexible by Proposition 2.4.

Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is reflexible and balanced. Note that for any $i = 0, \ldots, d-1$, $x_i \in B_n$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is regular, we can choose an orientation-reversing map automorphism ψ of $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying that $\psi(1_{D_n}) = 1_{D_n}$ and $\psi(x_i) = x_{-i}$ for any $i = 0, \ldots, d-1$. This means that $\psi(X) = X$ and $\psi(p(x_i)) = \psi(x_{i+1}) = x_{-i-1} = p^{-1}(x_{-i}) = p^{-1}(\psi(x_i))$. Suppose that there exists a positive integer j with $j \geq 1$ such that for any $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_j} \in X$ (not necessarily distinct), $\psi(x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_j}) = \psi(x_{i_1})\psi(x_{i_2})\cdots\psi(x_{i_j}) = x_{-i_1}x_{-i_2}\cdots x_{-i_j}$. Then for any $k = 0, \ldots, d-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_j}x_k) &= \psi(x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_j})x_{c(-i_j)+c(i_j)-k} = x_{-i_1}x_{-i_2}\cdots x_{-i_j}x_{-k} \\ &= \psi(x_{i_1})\psi(x_{i_2})\cdots\psi(x_{i_j})\psi(x_k)\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.3. Therefore ψ is a group automorphism of D_n.

For the remaining case, let $\mathcal{M}$ be reflexible and $X \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists $x_k \in X$ such that $A_n = \langle x_k \rangle$. Let $c(k) = r$, namely $x_r = x_k^{-1}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is regular, we can choose an orientation-reversing map automorphism ψ_1 of $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying that $\psi_1(1_{D_n}) = 1_{D_n}$ and $\psi_1(x_k) = x_r = x_k^{-1}$. Note that for any $i = 0, \ldots, d-1$, $\psi_1(x_{k+i}) = x_{r-i}$ and hence $\psi_1(X) = X$ and $\psi_1(p(x_{k+i})) = \psi_1(x_{k+i+1}) = x_{r-i-1} = p^{-1}(x_{r-i}) = p^{-1}(\psi_1(x_{k+i}))$. Suppose that for some positive integer j with $j \geq 1$, $\psi_1(x_k^j) = \psi_1(x_k)^j = x_k^{-j}$. Then

$$\psi_1(x_k^{j+1}) = \psi_1(x_k^j x_k) = \psi_1(x_k^j) x_{c(r)+c(k)-k} = x_k^{-j} x_r = x_k^{-j-1} = \psi_1(x_k)^{j+1}$$

by Proposition 2.3. Hence for any $a^i \in A_n$, $\psi_1(a^i) = a^{-i}$ and for any $a^i b \in B_n$, $\psi_1(a^i b) \in B_n$. Let $b = x_k^s x_t$ for some integers s and $t = 0, \ldots, d-1$. Now $x_t \in B_n$ and $\psi_1(b) = \psi_1(x_k^s x_t) = \psi_1(x_k^s) x_{c(r)+c(k)-t} = x_k^{-s} x_{c(r)+c(k)-t}$. Therefore for any $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$,

$$\psi_1(x_k^i b) = \psi_1(x_k^{i+s} x_t) = x_k^{-i-s} x_{c(r)+c(k)-t} = x_k^{-i} x_k^{-s} x_{c(r)+c(k)-t} = \psi_1(x_k^i)\psi_1(b).$$

Therefore ψ_1 is a group automorphism of D_n. $\square$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 implies that if $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ is a reflexible regular balanced Cayley map then any orientation-reversing automorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ fixing 1_{D_n} is also a group automorphism. For a reflexible regular non-balanced Cayley map $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$, the proof of Lemma 3.3 implies that there exists a $\alpha_{-1,j} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_n)$ which is also an orientation-reversing map automorphism of $\mathcal{M}$. So we have the following corollay.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a regular non-balanced Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n. Now $\mathcal{M}$ is reflexible if and only if there exists an automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_n)$ such that $\alpha(a) = a^{-1}$, $\alpha(X) = X$ and for any $x \in X$, $\alpha(p(x)) = p^{-1}(\alpha(x))$.*

We call such α in Corollay 3.4 a *partially inverting reflection* of $\mathcal{M}$. In fact, there is a unique partially inverting reflection of $\mathcal{M}$ and for any $x_i, x_k \in X \cap A_n$, $i + c(i) \equiv k + c(k)(\bmod d)$. Let $r = \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid p^k(x_i) = x_i^{-1},\ x_i \in X \cap A_n,\ k \geq 1\}$ and call it the *reflection index* of $\mathcal{M}$ and denote it by $r(\mathcal{M})$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this Section we prove Theorem 1.1, namely we classify reflexible regular Cayley maps for the dihedral groups D_n. All lemmas in this section give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

If $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ is a reflexible regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n and its valency d is 2, then one can easily show that $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $CM(D_n, \{b, ab\}, p = (b, ab))$, which is reflexible regular. For $d = 3$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n of valency $d = 3$. Now $\mathcal{M}$ is reflexible regular if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $CM(D_2, \{b, ab, a\}, (b, ab, a))$, $CM(D_3, \{b, ab, a^2b\}, (b, ab, a^2b))$ or $CM(D_4, \{b, a, a^{-1}\}, (b, a, a^{-1}))$.*

Proof. The underlying graphs of $CM(D_2, \{b, ab, a\}, (b, ab, a))$, $CM(D_3, \{b, ab, a^2b\}, (b, ab, a^2b))$ and $CM(D_4, \{b, a, a^{-1}\}, (b, a, a^{-1}))$ are the complete graph K_4, the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$ and 3-cube Q_3, respectively, and one can easily show that these Cayley maps are reflexible regular.

Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a reflexible regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n of valency $d = 3$. If $n = 2$, then one can easily show that $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_2, \{b, ab, a\}, (b, ab, a))$. Assume that $n \geq 3$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is balanced. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $CM(D_n, \{b, ab, a^{\ell+1}b\}, (b, ab, a^{\ell+1}b))$ for some ℓ satisfying $\ell^2 + \ell + 1 \equiv 0 (\mod n)$. By Lemma 3.3, one can assume that there exists a group automorphism ψ of D_n such that $\psi(b) = b$, $\psi(ab) = a^{\ell+1}b$ and $\psi(a^{\ell+1}b) = ab$. Now we have $\psi(a) = \psi(abb) = a^{\ell+1}$. So $ab = \psi(a^{\ell+1}b) = a^{(\ell+1)^2}b = a^{\ell^2+2\ell+1}b = a^{\ell}b$. This means that $\ell = 1$ and hence $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $CM(D_3, \{b, ab, a^2b\}, (b, ab, a^2b))$.

For the remaining case, let $X \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ and let $p = (x_0, x_1, x_2)$ such that $x_0 \in B_n$ and $x_1^{-1} = x_2$. By Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 3.2, one can assume that $p = (b, a, a^{-1})$. Now, $\ker(\pi) = \langle a^2, ab\rangle$ and for any $g \in D_n \setminus \ker(\pi)$, $\pi(g) = 2$. This means that

$$\varphi(ab) = \psi(a)\varphi^2(b) = a^{-2} \text{ and } \varphi(ba^{-1}) = \varphi(b)\varphi^2(a^{-1}) = a^2.$$

Since $ab = ba^{-1}$, we have $a^2 = a^{-2}$. Since we assume $n \geq 3$, $n = 4$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $CM(D_4, \{b, a, a^{-1}\}, (b, a, a^{-1}))$. □

In Lemma 4.1, we classify reflexible regular Cayley maps for D_n of valency 3. From now on, we assume that the valency of $\mathcal{M}$ is greater than or equal to 4. We show that the Cayley map $\mathcal{M}_i$ in Theorem 1.1 is reflexible regular and any reflexible regular Cayley map for D_n with valency $d \geq 4$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_i$ in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $i = 1, \ldots, 6$, the Cayley map $\mathcal{M}_i$ in Theorem 1.1 is reflexible regular.*

Proof. If n is even then let $n = 2m$. For any $i = 1, \ldots, 6$, let φ_i, π_i and ψ_i be defined as follows. Now one can check that φ_i is a skew-morphism of D_n with associated power function π_i and X_i is an orbit of φ_i which is a generating set of D_n and closed under inverse, and the restriction of φ_i on X_i is p_i. Hence $\mathcal{M}_i$ is regular. Furthermore ψ_i is a group automorphism of D_n satisfying $\psi_i(X_i) = X_i$ and $\psi_i(p(x)) = p^{-1}(\psi_i(x))$ for any $x \in X_i$. So $\mathcal{M}_i$ is reflexible by Lemma 3.3. Let j be an integer.

(1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1(a^j) &= a^{j\ell} \text{ and } \varphi_1(a^jb) = a^{j\ell+1}b \\
\pi_1(g) &= 1 \text{ for any } g \in D_n \\
\psi_1(a^j) &= a^{-j\ell} \text{ and } \psi_1(a^jb) = a^{-j\ell}b.
\end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_2(a^j) &= \begin{cases} a^{-j} & \text{if } j \text{ is even} \\ a^{j+1}b & \text{if } j \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad \varphi_2(a^jb) = \begin{cases} a^{j+1} & \text{if } j \text{ is even} \\ a^{-j}b & \text{if } j \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \\
\pi_2(a^{2j+1}) &= \pi_2(a^{n-2j-2}b) \equiv 4j+3 (\text{mod } n) \text{ and} \\
\pi_2(a^{2j}) &= \pi_2(a^{n-2j-1}b) \equiv 4j+1 (\text{mod } n) \\
\psi_2(a^j) &= a^{-j} \text{ and } \psi_2(a^jb) = a^{-j}b.
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_3(a^j) &= \begin{cases} a^{\frac{j}{2}m-j} & \text{if } j \text{ is even} \\ a^{j+1+\frac{j+1}{2}m}b & \text{if } j \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad \varphi_3(a^jb) = \begin{cases} a^{j+1+\frac{j}{2}m} & \text{if } j \text{ is even} \\ a^{\frac{j+1}{2}m-j}b & \text{if } j \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \\
\pi_3(a^{2j+1}) &= \pi_3(a^{n-2j-2}b) \equiv 4j+3 (\text{mod } n) \text{ and} \\
\pi_3(a^{2j}) &= \pi_3(a^{n-2j-1}b) \equiv 4j+1 (\text{mod } n) \\
\psi_3(a^j) &= a^{-j} \text{ and } \psi_3(a^jb) = a^{-j}b.
\end{aligned}$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_4(a^j) &= \begin{cases} a^{-j} & \text{if } j \equiv 0 (\text{ mod } 3) \\ a^{-j+1}b & \text{if } j \equiv 1 (\text{ mod } 3) \\ a^{-j} & \text{if } j \equiv 2 (\text{ mod } 3) \end{cases} \quad \varphi_4(a^jb) = \begin{cases} a^{-j+2}b & \text{if } j \equiv 0 (\text{ mod } 3) \\ a^{-j+2}b & \text{if } j \equiv 1 (\text{ mod } 3) \\ a^{-j+1} & \text{if } j \equiv 2 (\text{ mod } 3) \end{cases} \\
\pi_4(a^{3j}) &= \pi_4(a^{3j}b) = 1, \ \ \pi_4(a^{3j+1}) = \pi_4(a^{3j+2}b) = 2 \text{ and } \pi_4(a^{3j+2}) = \pi_4(a^{3j+1}b) = 3 \\
\psi_4(a^j) &= a^{-j} \text{ and } \psi_4(a^jb) = a^{-j+2}b.
\end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_5(a^j) &= \begin{cases} a^{-j} & \text{if } j \equiv 0 (\text{ mod } 4) \\ a^{-j+1}b & \text{if } j \equiv 1 (\text{ mod } 4) \\ a^{-j+1+m}b & \text{if } j \equiv 2 (\text{ mod } 4) \\ a^{-j} & \text{if } j \equiv 3 (\text{ mod } 4) \end{cases} \quad \varphi_5(a^jb) = \begin{cases} a^{-j+1+m}b & \text{if } j \equiv 0 (\text{ mod } 4) \\ a^{-j+2+m}b & \text{if } j \equiv 1 (\text{ mod } 4) \\ a^{-j+2+m}b & \text{if } j \equiv 2 (\text{ mod } 4) \\ a^{-j+1} & \text{if } j \equiv 3 (\text{ mod } 4) \end{cases} \\
\pi_5(a^{4j}) &= \pi_5(a^{4j+1}b) = 1, \ \ \pi_5(a^{4j+1}) = \pi_5(a^{4j}b) = 2 \\
\pi_5(a^{4j+2}) &= \pi_5(a^{4j+3}b) = 4 \text{ and } \pi_5(a^{4j+3}) = \pi_5(a^{4j+2}b) = 5 \\
\psi_5(a^j) &= a^{-j} \text{ and } \psi_5(a^jb) = a^{-j+2+m}b.
\end{aligned}$$

(6)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_6(a^j) &= \begin{cases} a^{-j} & \text{if } j\equiv 0(\bmod 2)\\ a^{-j-1}b & \text{if } j\equiv 1(\bmod 2)\end{cases} \quad \varphi_6(a^jb)=\begin{cases} a^{-j-2+m}b & \text{if } j\equiv 0(\bmod 2)\\ a^{-j-1+m} & \text{if } j\equiv 1(\bmod 2)\end{cases}\\
\pi_6(a^{4j}) &= \pi_6(a^{2j}b)=1 \text{ and } \pi_6(a^{2j+1})=\pi_6(a^{2j+1}b)=4\\
\psi_6(a^j) &= a^{-j} \text{ and } \psi_6(a^jb)=a^{-j-2+m}b.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *If $\mathcal{M}=CM(D_n,X,p)$ is a reflexible regular balanced Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n, then $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_1$ in Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. Let $p=(b,ab,a^{\ell+1}b,\dots,a^{\ell^{d-2}+\ell^{d-3}+\cdots+1}b)$ for some positive integer ℓ such that $\ell^{d-1}+\ell^{d-2}+\cdots+1\equiv 0(\bmod n)$. By Lemma 3.3, one can assume that there exists a group automorphism ψ of D_n such that $\psi(b)=b$, $\psi(ab)=a^{\ell^{d-2}+\ell^{d-3}+\cdots+1}b$ and $\psi(a^{\ell^{d-2}+\ell^{d-3}+\cdots+1}b)=ab$. Let $k=\ell^{d-2}+\ell^{d-3}+\cdots+1$. Now we have $\psi(a)=\psi(abb)=a^k$ and $ab=\psi(a^kb)=a^{k^2}b$. So $k^2\equiv 1(\bmod n)$. Since $\ell^{d-1}+\ell^{d-2}+\cdots+1=\ell k+1\equiv 0(\bmod n)$, we have $k^2\equiv 1\equiv -\ell k(\bmod n)$. This implies that $k\equiv -\ell(\bmod n)$ and hence $k^2\equiv \ell^2\equiv 1(\bmod n)$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_1$ in Theorem 4.2. □

From now on, we aim to consider reflexible regular non-balanced Cayley maps for the dihedral group D_n in terms of rotation index.

Lemma 4.4. *If $\mathcal{M}=CM(D_n,X,p)$ is a reflexible regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n whose rotation index $r(\mathcal{M})$ is 1, then $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_4$ or $\mathcal{M}_5$ in Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}=CM(D_n,X,p)$ be a reflexible regular Cayley map for D_n with $p=(x_0,x_1,\dots,x_{d-1})$ satisfying $x_0^{-1}=x_1$.

For the first consideration, let $d=4$. By Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 3.2, we can assume that $p=(a^{-1},a,b,a^kb)$ for some k. Now $\pi(a)=\pi(a^kb)=2$, $\pi(b)=1$ and $\pi(a^{-1})=3$. So $\varphi(ab)=\varphi(a)\varphi^2(b)=ba^{-1}=ab$ and $\varphi(ba^{-1})=\varphi(b)\varphi(a^{-1})=a^kba=a^{k-1}b$. Since $ab=ba^{-1}$, we have $k=2$. The covalency (face size) of $\mathcal{M}$ is the order n of a, which equals to 3 times the order of $a^{-1}ba^2b=a^{-3}$. This implies that n is a multiple of 3 and hence $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_4$.

Assume that $d\geq 5$. Note that if $x_i\in A_n$ then $x_i^{-1}=x_{d+1-i}$, and if $x_i\in B_n$ then x_{d+1-i} also belongs to B_n. Our discussion can be divided into the following cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $x_{d-1},x_2\in A_n$, namely $x_{d-1}^{-1}=x_2$. Let $x_1=a^i$ and $x_2=a^j$. Now $x_{d-1}=a^{-j}$ and $x_0=a^{-i}$. This implies that $\pi(a^{-j})=\pi(a^{-i})=\pi(a^i)=-1$. Hence

$$\varphi(a^{-j-i})=\varphi(a^{-j})\varphi^{-1}(a^{-i})=a^{-i-j} \text{ and } \varphi(a^{-i-j})=\varphi(a^{-i})\varphi^{-1}(a^{-j})=a^i\varphi^{-1}(a^{-j}).$$

So $\varphi^{-1}(a^{-j}) = x_{d-2} = a^{-2i-j}$, which implies that $x_3 = x_{d-2}^{-1} = a^{2i+j}$ and $\pi(a^{-2i-j}) = -1$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_{d-2}x_{d-1}) &= \varphi(a^{(-2i-j)-j}) = \varphi(a^{-2i-j})\varphi^{-1}(a^{-j}) = a^{-j+(-2i-j)} \text{ and} \\ \varphi(a^{-j+(-2i-j)}) &= \varphi(a^{-j})\varphi^{-1}(a^{-2i-j}) = a^{-i}\varphi^{-1}(a^{-2i-j}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\varphi^{-1}(a^{-2i-j}) = x_{d-3} = a^{-i-2j}$. By continuing the similar process, one can get that for any $x_k \in X$, $x_k \in A_n$. It contradicts that X is a generating set of D_n.

Case 2. Suppose that $x_{d-2}, x_{d-1}, x_2, x_3 \in B_n$. Then $\pi(x_{d-2}) = \pi(x_2) = 1$, $\pi(x_{d-1}) = \pi(x_1) = 2$ and $\pi(x_0) = -1$. By Proposition 2.2, one can assume that $x_{d-1} = b$. Let $x_{d-2} = a^{k_1}b$ and $x_2 = a^{k_2}b$. Now

$$\varphi(a^{k_1}ba^{-i}) = \varphi(a^{k_1}b)\varphi(a^{-i}) = ba^i \text{ and } \varphi(a^ia^{k_1}b) = \varphi(a^i)\varphi^2(a^{k_1}b) = a^{k_2}ba^{-i},$$

which implies that $k_2 = -2i$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a^{k_1}ba^i) &= \varphi(a^{k_1}b)\varphi(a^i) = ba^{k_2}b = a^{-k_2} = a^{2i} \text{ and} \\ \varphi(a^{-i}a^{k_1}b) &= \varphi(a^{-i})\varphi^{-1}(a^{k_1}b) = a^i\varphi^{-1}(a^{k_1}b), \end{aligned}$$

we have $\varphi^{-1}(a^{k_1}b) = x_{d-3} = a^i = x_1$, which is a contradiction.

Case 3. Suppose that $x_{d-1}, x_2 \in B_n$ and $x_{d-2}, x_3 \in A_n$. Then $\pi(x_{d-2}) = \pi(x_2) = -4$, $\pi(x_{d-1}) = \pi(x_1) = 2$ and $\pi(x_0) = -1$. Let $x_{d-1} = a^{k_1}b,\ x_2 = a^{k_2}b$ and $x_3 = x_{d-2}^{-1} = a^j$. Now

$$\varphi(a^{-i}a^{k_1}b) = \varphi(a^{-i})\varphi^{-1}(a^{k_1}b) = a^ia^{-j} \text{ and } \varphi(a^{k_1}ba^i) = \varphi(a^{k_1}b)\varphi^2(a^i) = a^{-i}a^j,$$

which implies that $2i = 2j$. Furthermore we have

$$\varphi(a^{-2i}) = \varphi(a^{-i})\varphi^{-1}(a^{-i}) = a^ia^{k_1}b \text{ and } \varphi(a^{-2j}) = \varphi(a^{-j})\varphi^{-4}(a^{-j}) = a^{k_1}b\varphi^{-4}(a^{-j}).$$

Since $a^{-2i} = a^{-2j}$, we have $\varphi^{-4}(a^{-j}) = x_{d-6} = a^{-i} = x_0$. This implies that $d = 6$ and $p = (a^{-i}, a^i, a^{k_2}b, a^j, a^{-j}, a^{k_1}b)$. Since $2i = 2j$, $A_n = \langle a^i\rangle = \langle a^j\rangle$ be Lemma 3.2. By Proposition 2.2, we can assume that $p = (a^{-1}, a, b, a^j, a^{-j}, a^{k_1}b)$ up to isomorphism. Since $2j = 2i = 2$, n is even and $j = 1 + \frac{n}{2}$. Note that $\pi(a^{1+\frac{n}{2}}) = -1$. Since

$$\varphi(a^{1+(1+\frac{n}{2})}) = \varphi(a)\varphi^2(a^{1+\frac{n}{2}}) = ba^{k_1}b \text{ and } \varphi(a^{(1+\frac{n}{2})+1}) = \varphi(a^{1+\frac{n}{2}})\varphi^{-1}(a) = a^{-1+\frac{n}{2}}a^{-1},$$

$k_1 = 2 + \frac{n}{2}$. The covalency (face size) of $\mathcal{M}$ is the order n of a, which equals to 4 times the order of $a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot a^{1+\frac{n}{2}} \cdot a^{2+\frac{n}{2}}b = a^{-4}$. This implies that n is a multiple of 4. Note that $\pi(a) = 2$ and by Proposition 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(a^2) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\pi(a)-1} \pi(\varphi^i(a)) = \pi(a) + \pi(b) = 4, \\
\pi(a^3) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\pi(a^2)-1} \pi(\varphi^i(a)) = \pi(a) + \pi(b) + \pi(a^{1+\frac{n}{2}}) + \pi(a^{-1+\frac{n}{2}}) = 5 \text{ and} \\
\pi(a^4) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\pi(a^3)-1} \pi(\varphi^i(a)) = \pi(a) + \pi(b) + \pi(a^{1+\frac{n}{2}}) + \pi(a^{-1+\frac{n}{2}}) + \pi(a^{2+\frac{n}{2}}b) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle a^4 \rangle$ is the cyclic subgroup of $\ker(\pi)$ of the maximum order. Since $\pi(a) = \pi(a^{-1+\frac{n}{2}})$, both a and $a^{-1+\frac{n}{2}}$ belong to the same right coset of $\ker(\pi)$, namely $a^{-2+\frac{n}{2}} \in \langle a^4 \rangle$. This implies that $\frac{n}{2} \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, namely $n \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$, and hence $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_5$. □

Lemma 4.5. *If $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ is a reflexible regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n whose rotation index $r(\mathcal{M})$ is 2, then $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_2$ or $\mathcal{M}_3$ in Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a reflexible regular Cayley map for D_n with $p = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$ satisfying $x_0 \in B_n$ and $x_{d-1}^{-1} = x_1$. By Proposition 2.2, we can assume that $x_0 = b$. Let $x_1 = x_{d-1}^{-1} = a^i$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is regular and reflexible, there exists an orientation-reversing map automorphism ψ of D_n such that $\psi(x_k) = x_{-k}$ for any $x_k \in X$. By Corollay 3.4, ψ is also a group automorphism. Note that $\psi(a) = a^{-1}$ and $\psi(b) = b$. This implies that for any $x_k \in X$, if $x_k = a^j$ then $x_{-k} = a^{-j}$, and if $x_k = a^j b$ then $x_{-k} = a^{-j}b$.

Suppose that $x_{d-2}^{-1} = x_2$. Let $x_2 = x_{d-2}^{-1} = a^j$. Now $\pi(a^{-j}) = \pi(a^{-i}) = \pi(b) = \pi(a^i) = -1$ and hence

$$\varphi(a^i b) = \varphi(a^i)\varphi^{-1}(b) = a^j a^{-i} = a^{j-i} \text{ and } \varphi(ba^{-i}) = \varphi(b)\varphi^{-1}(a^{-i}) = a^{i-j}.$$

So $2i \equiv 2j(\bmod n)$. Since $a^{-2i} = a^{-2j}$ and

$$\varphi(a^{-2i}) = \varphi(a^{-i})\varphi^{-1}(a^{-i}) = ba^{-j} \text{ and } \varphi(a^{-2j}) = \varphi(a^{-j})\varphi^{-1}(a^{-j}) = a^{-i}\varphi^{-1}(a^{-j}),$$

we have $\varphi^{-1}(a^{-j}) = a^{i+j}b$. Also

$$\varphi(a^{-j+i}) = \varphi(a^{-j})\varphi^{-1}(a^i) = a^{-i}b \text{ and } \varphi(a^{i-j}) = \varphi(a^i)\varphi^{-1}(a^{-j}) = a^j\varphi^{-1}(a^{-j}),$$

which means that $\varphi^{-1}(a^{-j}) = a^{-i-j}b$. So we have $2j \equiv 2i \equiv -2j(\bmod n)$, and hence $4j \equiv 0(\bmod n)$. This implies that $\{a^{-i}, a^i\} = \{a^{-j}, a^j\} = \{a^{\frac{n}{4}}, a^{\frac{3n}{4}}\}$, a contradiction.

Now we can assume that $x_{d-2}, x_2 \in B_n$. Let $x_{d-2} = a^{-k_1}b$ and $x_2 = a^{k_1}b$. Note that $\pi(a^{-i}) = \pi(b) = -1$ and $\pi(a^{-k_1}b) = \pi(a^i) = 3$. Since

$$\varphi(a^{-k_1}bb) = \varphi(a^{-k_1}b)\varphi^3(b) = a^{-i}\varphi(a^{k_1}b) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(ba^{k_1}b) = \varphi(b)\varphi^{-1}(a^{k_1}b) = a^{2i},$$

we have $\varphi(a^{k_1}b) = a^{3i}$, namely $x_3 = a^{3i}$ and $x_{d-3} = a^{-3i}$. Hence we have

$$\varphi(a^i b) = \varphi(a^i)\varphi^3(b) = a^{k_1}ba^{3i} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(ba^{-i}) = \varphi(b)\varphi^{-1}(a^{-i}) = a^i a^{-k_1}b.$$

This implies that $2k_1 = 4i$, namely $k_1 = 2i$ or $2i + \frac{n}{2}$ with even n. Now our discussion can be divided into the following two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $k_1 = 2i$. Assume that there exists a positive integer k with $k \geq 1$ such that for non-negative integer j with $j \leq k$, $x_{-2j} = a^{-2ji}b, x_{2j} = a^{2ji}b$ and $x_{-2j-1} = a^{(-2j-1)i}, x_{2j+1} = a^{(2j+1)i}$. Then we have $\pi(a^{-2ji}b) = \pi(a^{(2j-1)i}) = 4j - 1$ and $\pi(a^{2ji}b) = \pi(a^{(-2j-1)i}) = -4j - 1$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(a^i a^{(2k-1)i}) &= \varphi(a^i)\varphi^3(a^{(2k-1)i}) = a^{2i}bx_{2k+2} \quad \text{and} \\
\varphi(a^i a^{(2k-1)i}) &= \varphi(ba^{(-2k)i}b) = \varphi(b)\varphi^{-1}(a^{(-2k)i}b) = a^i a^{(-2k-1)i}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have $x_{2k+2} = a^{(2k+2)i}b$, and hence $x_{-2k-2} = a^{(-2k-2)i}b$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(a^i a^{2ki}b) &= \varphi(a^i)\varphi^3(a^{2ki}b) = a^{2i}bx_{2k+3} \quad \text{and} \\
\varphi(a^i a^{2ki}b) &= \varphi(ba^{(-2k-1)i}) = \varphi(b)\varphi^{-1}(a^{(-2k-1)i}) = a^i a^{(-2k-2)i}b,
\end{aligned}$$

we have $x_{2k+3} = a^{(2k+3)i}$ and $x_{-2k-3} = a^{(-2k-3)i}$. By induction, for any integer j, $x_{2j} = a^{2ji}b$ and $x_{2j+1} = a^{(2j+1)i}$. For $\mathcal{M}$ to be well-defined, n should be even. Since X is a generating set of D_n, the greatest common divisor of i and n is 1. By Proposition 2.2, we can assume that $i = 1$ up to isomorphism, and hence $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_2$.

Case 2. Suppose that $k_1 = 2i + \frac{n}{2}$ with even n. Let $m = \frac{n}{2}$. Assume that there exists a positive integer k with $k \geq 1$ such that for non-negative integer j with $j \leq k$, $x_{-2j} = a^{-2ji+jm}b, x_{2j} = a^{2ji+jm}b$ and $x_{-2j-1} = a^{(-2j-1)i}, x_{2j+1} = a^{(2j+1)i}$. Then we have $\pi(a^{-2ji+jm}b) = \pi(a^{(2j-1)i}) = 4j - 1$ and $\pi(a^{2ji+jm}b) = \pi(a^{(-2j-1)i}) = -4j - 1$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(a^i a^{(2k-1)i}) &= \varphi(a^i)\varphi^3(a^{(2k-1)i}) = a^{2i+m}bx_{2k+2} \quad \text{and} \\
\varphi(a^i a^{(2k-1)i}) &= \varphi(a^{-i}a^{(2k+1)i}) = \varphi(a^{-i})\varphi^{-1}(a^{(2k+1)i}) = ba^{2ki+km}b,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $x_{2k+2} = a^{(2k+2)i+(k+1)m}b$ and $x_{-2k-2} = a^{(-2k-2)i-(k+1)m}b$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(a^{-2i+m}ba^{2ki+km}b) &= \varphi(a^{-2i+m}b)\varphi^3(a^{2ki+km}b) = a^{-i}x_{2k+3} \quad \text{and} \\
\varphi(a^{-2i+m}ba^{2ki+km}b) &= \varphi(ba^{(2k+2)i+(k+1)m}b) = \varphi(b)\varphi^{-1}(a^{(2k+2)i+(k+1)m}b) = a^i a^{(2k+1)i},
\end{aligned}$$

we have $x_{2k+3} = a^{(2k+3)i}$ and $x_{-2k-3} = a^{(-2k-3)i}$. By induction, for any integer j, $x_{2j} = a^{2ji+jm}b$ and $x_{2j+1} = a^{(2j+1)i}$. Since X is a generating set of D_n, the greatest common divisor of i and n is 1. By Proposition 2.2, we can assume that $i = 1$ up to isomorphism. Note that the valency d of $\mathcal{M}$ is n. For $\mathcal{M}$ to be well-defined, n should be a multiple of 4. If $n \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$, then $x_m = a^{m+\frac{m}{2}m}b = a^{2m}b = b$, a contradiction. Therefore n is a multiple of 8 and hence $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_3$. □

Lemma 4.6. *If $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ is a reflexible regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n whose rotation index $r(\mathcal{M})$ is 3, then $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_6$ in Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ be a reflexible regular Cayley map for D_n with $p = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$ and $r(\mathcal{M}) = 3$. By Proposition 2.2, let $x_0 = b$, $x_1 = a^k b$ and $x_2 = x_{d-1}^{-1} = a^i$. Now $\pi(a^{-i}) = \pi(a^k b) = -2$ and $\pi(b) = 1$.

Suppose that $x_{d-2}, x_3 \in A_n$, namely $x_{d-2}^{-1} = x_3$. Let $x_3 = x_{d-2}^{-1} = a^j$. Now $\pi(a^{-j}) = \pi(a^i) = -1$ and we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\varphi(a^{-i}b) & = & \varphi(a^{-i})\varphi^{-2}(b) = ba^{-j} \text{ and } \varphi(a^{-i}b) = \varphi(ba^i) = \varphi(b)\varphi(a^i) = a^k ba^j \\ \varphi(a^i b) & = & \varphi(a^i)\varphi^{-1}(b) = a^j a^{-i} \text{ and } \varphi(a^i b) = \varphi(ba^{-i}) = \varphi(b)\varphi(a^{-i}) = a^k bb = a^k.\end{array}$$

So it holds that $k = 2j$ and $k = j - i$. This implies that $j = -i$, a contradiction.

We can assume that $x_{d-2}, x_3 \in B_n$. Let $x_{d-2} = a^{k_1}b$ and $x_3 = a^{k_2}b$. Now $\pi(a^{k_1}b) = \pi(a^i) = 4$ and we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\varphi(a^{-i}b) & = & \varphi(a^{-i})\varphi^{-2}(b) = ba^{k_1}b = a^{-k_1} \text{ and } \varphi(ba^i) = \varphi(b)\varphi(a^i) = a^k ba^{k_2}b = a^{k-k_2} \\ \varphi(a^i b) & = & \varphi(a^i)\varphi^4(b) = a^{k_2}b\varphi(a^{k_2}b) \text{ and } \varphi(ba^{-i}) = \varphi(b)\varphi(a^{-i}) = a^k bb = a^k.\end{array}$$

So $k_1 = k_2 - k$ and $\varphi(a^{k_2}b) = a^{k_2-k}b = a^{k_1}b$. This implies that $d = 6$ and $p = (b, a^k b, a^i, a^{k_2}b, a^{k_1}b, a^{-i})$. By Lemma 3.2, $\langle a^i \rangle = A_n$ and hence the greatest common divisor of n and i is 1. By Proposition 2.2, we can assume that $i = 1$ up to isomorphism. Now $\pi(a) = \pi(a^{-1}) = \pi(a^k b) = \pi(a^{k_1}b) = -2$ and $\pi(b) = \pi(a^{k_2}b) = 1$. By Corollary 3.4, there exists an automorphism ψ of D_n such that $\psi(a) = a^{-1}$ and $\psi(b) = a^k b$, and this automorphism ψ sends $a^{k_1}b$ to $a^{k_2}b$. This means that $k_2 = -k_1 + k$. Since $k_1 = k_2 - k$, we have $2k_1 \equiv 0(\bmod n)$ and hence n is even and $k_1 = \frac{n}{2}$. Since

$$\varphi(a^{\frac{n}{2}}bb) = \varphi(a^{\frac{n}{2}}b)\varphi^{-2}(b) = a^{-1}a^{\frac{n}{2}}b \text{ and } \varphi(ba^{\frac{n}{2}}b) = \varphi(b)\varphi(a^{\frac{n}{2}}b) = a^k ba^{-1},$$

we have $k = \frac{n}{2} - 2$, which means $k_2 = -2$ and hence $p = (b, a^{\frac{n}{2}-2}b, a, a^{-2}b, a^{\frac{n}{2}}b, a^{-1})$. Since $\pi(b) = \pi(a^{-2}b) = 1$, we have $\ker(\pi) = \langle a^2, b \rangle$. By the fact $a^{\frac{n}{2}}b \notin \ker(\pi)$, we know that $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd, so $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, and hence $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_6$. □

In the following lemma, we will show that there is no other reflexible regular Cayley map for D_n except all Cayley maps listed in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.7. *There is no reflexible regular Cayley map for the dihedral group* D_n *whose rotation index* $r(\mathcal{M})$ *is greater than* 3.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a reflexible regular Cayley map $\mathcal{M} = CM(D_n, X, p)$ whose rotation index $r(\mathcal{M})$ is greater than 3. For our convenience, let $r(\mathcal{M}) = r$ and let $x_r = x_0^{-1} = a^i$ and for any $j = 1, \dots, r-1$, $x_j \in B_n$. For any $j = 1, \dots, r-1$, let $x_j = a^{k_j}b$. Now $\pi(a^{k_1}b) = \pi(a^{k_2}b) = \cdots = \pi(a^{k_{r-2}}b) = 1$. By Corollary 3.4, there exists an automorphism ψ of D_n such that $\psi(a) = a^{-1}$ and $\psi(a^{k_j}b) = a^{k_{r-j}}b$ for any $j = 1, \dots, r-1$. This implies that $k_1 + k_{r-1} \equiv k_2 + k_{r-2} (\mod n)$, and thus $k_1 - k_2 \equiv k_{r-2} - k_{r-1} (\mod n)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(a^{k_1}ba^{k_2}b) &= \varphi(a^{k_1}b)\varphi(a^{k_2}b) = a^{k_2}ba^{k_3}b \text{ and} \\ \varphi(a^{k_{r-2}}ba^{k_{r-1}}b) &= \varphi(a^{k_{r-2}}b)\varphi(a^{k_{r-1}}b) = a^{k_{r-1}}ba^i\end{aligned}$$

and $k_1 - k_2 \equiv k_{r-2} - k_{r-1} (\mod n)$, we have $a^{k_2}ba^{k_3}b = a^{k_{r-1}}ba^i$, a contradiction. Therefore there is no reflexible regular Cayley map for the dihedral group D_n with $r(\mathcal{M}) \geq 4$. $\square$

References

[1] N. Biggs, *Cayley maps and symmetrical maps*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 72 (1972), 381-386.

[2] M. Conder, R. Jajcay and T. Tucker, *Regular Cayley maps for finite abelian groups*, J. Algebraic Combinatorics 25 (2007), 259-283.

[3] M. Conder, R. Jajcay and T. Tucker, *Regular t-balanced Cayley maps*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 97 (2007), 453-473.

[4] M. Conder, Y. S. Kwon and J. Širáň, *Reflexibility of regular Cayley maps for abelian groups*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 99 (2009), 254-260.

[5] M. Conder, T. Tucker, *The classification of regular Cayley maps for cyclic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **366**(7) (2014), 3585-3609.

[6] R. Jajcay, *Automorphism groups of Cayley maps*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 59 (1993), 297-310.

[7] R. Jajcay and J. Širáň, *Skew-morphisms of regular Cayley maps*, Discrete Mathematics 244 (2002), 167-179.

[8] L. James and G. Jones, *Regular orientable imbeddings of complete graphs*, J. Combinat. Theory Ser. B 39 (1985), 353-367.

[9] J. H. Kwak, Y. S. Kwon and R. Feng, A classification of regular t-balanced Cayley maps on dihedral groups, Europ. J. Combinatorics, **27**(3), 2006, 382-393.

[10] I. Kovács, Y. S. Kwon, Regular Cayley maps on dihedral groups with the smallest kernel, preprint arXiv:1504.00763v1[math.CO].

[11] I. Kovács, D. Marušič, M. E. Muzychuk, On G-arc-regular dihedrants and regular dihedral maps, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **38** (2013), 437–455.

[12] I. Kovács, R. Nedela, Decomposition of skew-morphisms of cyclic groups, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **4** (2011) 291–311.

[13] Y. H. Kwak, Y. M. Oh, A classification of regular t-balanced Cayley maps on dicyclic groups, *European J. Combin.* **29** (2008), 1151–1159.

[14] M. Muzychuk, *On balanced automorphisms of abelian groups*, preprint, 2005.

[15] M. Muzychuk, *On unbalanced regular Cayley maps over abelian groups*, preprint, 2005.

[16] B. Richter, J. Širáň, R. Jajcay, T. Tucker, M. Watkins, *Cayley maps*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 95 (2005) No. 2, 189-245.

[17] M. Škoviera and J. Širāň, *Regular maps from Cayley graphs, Part I: Balanced Cayley maps*, Discrete Math. 109 (1992), 265-276.

[18] M. Škoviera and J. Širāň, *Regular maps from Cayley graphs, Part II: Antibalanced Cayley maps*, Discrete Math. 124 (1994), 179-191.

[19] J. Y. Zhang, Regular Cayley maps of skew-type 3 for dihedral groups, preprint.

[20] Y. Wang and R. Feng, Regular Cayley maps for cyclic, dihedral and generalized quaternion groups, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **21**(4), 2005, 773-778